



Can Redistribution Change Policy Views? Aid and Attitudes Toward Refugees in Uganda

Third submission: RR_UGA_2024_73

María Reyes Retana

reproducibility@worldbank.org

10-09-2024

This review verifies the exhibits' reproducibility in the paper "*Can Redistribution Change Policy Views? Aid and Attitudes Toward Refugees in Uganda*".

Contents in this review:

1. Main findings
2. List of exhibits and reproducibility status
3. Reproduction Environment

Main findings

- The code was successfully executed on a new computer after:
 1. Changing the file paths in the main do file.
- The output shows stability, in particular running the code twice yielded identical results.
- The full code takes approximately 3 hours to run.
- We conducted our review using the paper provided by the authors on September 10th.
- Every exhibit has been reproduced accurately.
- For the appendix, we reviewed every table in Appendix A. We randomly selected 10 tables from the remaining datasets to assess the remainder of the appendix and reviewed those 10. Since these tables were chosen randomly, we operate under the assumption that if all randomly selected tables are reproducible, then the rest should also be reproducible. The seed used to generate the random selection was 248005, and the tables selected were: 5 (B5), 7 (B7), 13 (B13), 16 (C2), 18 (D1), 19 (E1), 35 (E17), 38 (E20), 44 (E26), 45 (E27).
- We identified minor discrepancies between the results in the exhibits we produced and those in the paper. However, none of these discrepancies exceed the threshold of 0.01, which we consider for reproducibility. The only differences slightly exceeding this threshold are for the table `welfare.tex` Table A.8, but these remain minimal, and the authors consistently produced these results. Therefore, we consider all exhibits to be accurately reproduced.
- One minor note is that for Appendix A15, we were unable to evaluate the p-values, as this process took the authors two months to complete. Nonetheless, we have confirmed that the coefficients and

all other values in the paper are reproducible. The reproducibility team is committed to completing this process at a later date and will update the report once finished. In the interim, we consider the authors' clarification to be sufficient and do not believe this affects the overall reproducibility of the paper.

- **Reproducibility Summary:**

- **Data:** All data is temporarily embargoed by the authors (expected to be made public in the future). Please see more details in the README file.
- **Code:** All code files (from cleaning to analysis) are included in the reproducibility package.
- **Outputs:** All outputs are generated by code included in the reproducibility package.
- **Reproducibility verification:** Reviewers used data provided directly by the authors to conduct the reproducibility verification, and this is not included in the public reproducibility package. The reviewers did not verify if publicly available data matches the data provided by the authors.
- **Dependencies environment:** The reviewers created a new environment for dependencies using the latest versions available for each dependency at the moment of the review.

List of exhibits and reproducibility status

Results in the Main Section of the Paper

- **Figure 1** Does not apply
- **Figure 2** Reproduced
- **Table 1** Reproduced
- **Table 2** Reproduced
- **Table 3** Reproduced
- **Table 4** Reproduced

Results in the Appendix

- **Figure A1** Reproduced
- **Table A1** Does not apply
- **Table A2** Reproduced
- **Table A3** Reproduced
- **Table A4** Reproduced
- **Table A5** Reproduced
- **Table A6** Reproduced
- **Table A7** Reproduced

- **Table A8** Reproduced with minor differences The differences between the replicators' and authors' results slightly exceed the 0.01 threshold but remain minimal. As the authors consistently produced these results, we consider the table to be accurately reproduced.
- **Table A9** Reproduced
- **Table A10** Reproduced
- **Table A11** Reproduced
- **Table A12** Reproduced
- **Table A13** Reproduced
- **Table A14** Reproduced
- **Table A15** Not fully verified The coefficients were fully verified, but the p-values couldn't be verified due to the extensive computational requirements of the code, which include 10,000 bootstraps and a runtime of two months, it was not feasible to verify this aspect within the current review period. The authors have provided a detailed clarification in the README file and included relevant results in the paper under the file named wyoung. The reproducibility team has committed to executing this process and will update the report upon completion. In the interim, we regard the authors' clarification as adequate and do not consider this to compromise the overall reproducibility of the paper.
- **Table B5** Reproduced
- **Table B7** Reproduced
- **Table B13** Reproduced with manual changes. Tables show different N values, however, this is noted in the README file. After following the manual steps results reproduced correctly.
- **Table C2** Reproduced
- **Table D1** Reproduced. The significance stars are missing from the code-produced output, but the p-values in parentheses in the code-produced exhibit correspond to the significance levels indicated by the stars in the paper. Therefore, we consider this table to be fully reproducible.
- **Table E1** Reproduced
- **Table E17** Reproduced
- **Table E20** Reproduced
- **Table E26** Reproduced
- **Table E27** Reproduced

Reproduction Environment

- Paper exhibits were reproduced on a computer with the following specifications:
 - OS: Windows 11 Enterprise
 - Processor: Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-1145G7 CPU @ 2.60GHz
 - Memory available: 15.7 GB
 - Software version: Stata version 18 MP