	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	PANEL A: Midline											

PANEL A: Midline

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets
	(IHS)			(Winsorized)			(IHS)			(Winsorized)		
SAMPLE	Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages						RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages					
CT in CT villages [A]	1.54*	1.96**	1.68**	6598.78	2951.60***	5711.06**	1.99*	1.45	1.03	6607.99	3142.42***	5959.50*
	[0.93]	[0.84]	[0.71]	[6341.90]	[741.94]	[2544.29]	[1.04]	[1.03]	[0.85]	[7825.46]	[936.30]	[3046.53]
NCT in CT villages [B]	0.06	2.04**	1.52**	2716.80	2600.15***	4431.90*	1.04	1.79*	1.08	2373.18	2867.96***	4680.91
	[0.98]	[0.86]	[0.74]	[6851.18]	[778.03]	[2625.83]	[1.17]	[1.05]	[0.86]	[8956.01]	[985.18]	[3192.53]
PET[C]	0.85	-1.20	-1.02	-5136.10	-2075.11*	-4102.21	-0.56	-0.89	-0.93	-10452.83	-2691.28*	-5148.26
	[1.31]	[0.99]	[0.83]	[8521.36]	[1189.25]	[3018.65]	[1.75]	[1.47]	[1.15]	[10863.61]	[1429.63]	[4132.41]
PEV[D]	-1.04	-1.09	-1.80***	-1429.21	-908.43	-6742.70**	-1.59	2.01	0.04	2081.23	1270.79	52.07
	[1.16]	[0.73]	[0.62]	[7202.03]	[932.21]	[2632.90]	[2.42]	[1.31]	[1.27]	[17016.88]	[1347.42]	[5677.98]
#HH[E]	0.02	-0.29*	-0.17	109.84	-28.56	6.05	-0.63	0.09	-0.08	-7096.26*	5.54	-3442.92***
	[0.24]	[0.16]	[0.15]	[1709.38]	[244.56]	[985.87]	[0.54]	[0.35]	[0.27]	[4252.45]	[459.88]	[1239.40]
Constant	5.01***	5.27***	7.10***	15466.87***	809.45	5390.48**	5.40***	4.05***	6.39***	18911.74***	264.40	5381.05**
	[0.76]	[0.73]	[0.60]	[5128.56]	[520.60]	[2191.59]	[0.95]	[0.86]	[0.64]	[6995.16]	[615.57]	[2443.54]
Observations	1166	1166	1166	1166	1166	1166	467	467	467	467	467	467
Adjusted R-squared	0.06	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.13	0.07	0.04	0.18	0.05	0.07	0.13
Meters	400	400	400	400	400	400	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00
Outcome Mean Pure Control	5.36	4.93	7.15	18214.88	1135.71	7277.98	5.36	4.93	7.15	18214.88	1135.71	7277.98
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Households around(#)	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78

PANEL B: Endline

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets	Animal Assets	Farming Assets	Household Assets
	(IHS)			(Winsorized)			(IHS)			(Winsorized)		
	Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages						RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages					
CT in CT villages [A]	1.64	0.57	0.27	6416.16	1195.52	906.82	0.61	0.17	0.36	-3091.80	1026.07	248.40
	[1.02]	[0.40]	[0.38]	[13025.46]	[889.79]	[3116.76]	[1.15]	[0.52]	[0.39]	[15590.79]	[1152.50]	[3413.06]
NCT in CT villages [B]	0.05	0.52	0.20	-5531.90	1211.76	-229.77	-1.01	0.35	0.38	-10142.24	1665.70	376.39
	[1.08]	[0.42]	[0.39]	[13442.88]	[937.19]	[3331.63]	[1.30]	[0.52]	[0.39]	[16508.19]	[1218.14]	[3662.56]
PET[C]	-0.65	0.22	0.51	-16156.28	-1029.66	2930.51	0.42	0.91	0.26	-6638.12	-1183.59	-1322.44
	[1.25]	[0.49]	[0.37]	[16532.56]	[1224.39]	[3805.46]	[1.57]	[0.73]	[0.37]	[21576.55]	[1768.91]	[4009.98]
PEV[D]	0.63	-0.36	0.43	9759.50	514.31	1386.31	2.08	0.26	0.41	23481.92	2407.00	7189.83
	[1.12]	[0.46]	[0.31]	[13681.06]	[1152.77]	[3375.79]	[1.95]	[1.03]	[0.64]	[34790.41]	[3002.13]	[6746.27]
#HH[E]	0.21	-0.29**	0.17*	428.90	17.37	1833.13*	0.47	-0.22	0.04	4431.47	516.74	1496.68
	[0.24]	[0.12]	[0.09]	[3068.33]	[242.03]	[939.28]	[0.49]	[0.29]	[0.25]	[7342.46]	[479.12]	[1652.55]
Constant	5.97***	7.96***	8.18***	39216.50***	3094.48***	7468.62***	5.42***	7.98***	8.29***	37391.95***	2956.93***	6628.61**
Observations	1166	1166	1166	1166	1166	1166	467	467	467	467	467	467
Adjusted R-squared	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.08
Meters	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00	400.00
Outcome Mean Pure Control	6.73	7.70	8.67	45391.67	3414.17	11341.07	6.73	7.70	8.67	45391.67	3414.17	11341.07
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Households around(#)	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78

Notes: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

(1) Sample in Table F11 includes all ultra-poor households that were interviewed in one of the follow ups.

(2) Table F11 includes anwers from primary female respondent in household.

(3) Assets outcomes are present as inverse hyperbolic transformed (IHS) and to the 95th-percentile winsorized levels.

(4) Asset values were measured differently at baseline to the follow-up surveys so in this table the regression uses OLS estimation and presents results as a cross-section with midline in Panel A and endline in Panel B not controlling by value at baseline. All regressions control for location i.e. local government area (LGA) fixed effects. Conley standard errors that account for spatial correlation in the data are used (Conley 1999; 2008).

(5) The regression discontinuity (RD) estimation is presented in this table in columns 7 to 12 that exploits the sharp discontinuity at the 18 EV cutoff that determined village-level program eligibility to receive cash transfers. We estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) using only observations close to the cutoff +/- 18 EVs.