

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
<i>Time spent on activity on a typical day...</i>												
	Care (children, ill household members)	Domestic tasks	Non-farm business activities	Paid work or activities outside of household	Tasks on family farm, home garden, cattle herding	Leisure	Care (children, ill household members)	Domestic tasks	Non-farm business activities	Paid work or activities outside of household	Tasks on family farm, home garden, cattle herding	Leisure
	<i>Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages</i>						<i>RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages</i>					
CT in CT villages [A]	-0.61 [0.38]	0.12 [0.28]	0.87*** [0.33]	0.15 [0.18]	-0.73** [0.37]	0.45 [0.63]	-1.12** [0.44]	0.13 [0.31]	0.70* [0.40]	0.10 [0.23]	-0.85** [0.42]	1.32* [0.68]
NCT in CT villages [B]	-0.51 [0.39]	0.05 [0.29]	0.60* [0.34]	0.09 [0.19]	-0.60 [0.38]	0.65 [0.65]	-0.95** [0.45]	0.03 [0.31]	0.52 [0.37]	0.21 [0.22]	-0.74* [0.44]	1.15* [0.64]
PET[C]	0.10 [0.49]	0.27 [0.37]	0.40 [0.52]	0.19 [0.28]	0.12 [0.41]	-1.49* [0.81]	0.45 [0.60]	0.46 [0.44]	0.31 [0.60]	0.26 [0.35]	0.32 [0.52]	-1.77* [1.06]
PEV[D]	0.36 [0.40]	-0.65** [0.29]	-0.60* [0.32]	-0.12 [0.23]	0.63** [0.31]	0.42 [0.55]	2.26*** [0.81]	0.55 [0.49]	-0.48 [0.67]	-0.58 [0.45]	0.29 [0.61]	-0.75 [1.00]
#HH[E]	-0.07 [0.11]	-0.17** [0.07]	-0.01 [0.09]	-0.00 [0.07]	0.10 [0.11]	0.10 [0.19]	0.11 [0.23]	-0.05 [0.20]	-0.21 [0.18]	-0.17 [0.11]	0.17 [0.14]	0.30 [0.43]
Constant	4.07*** [0.30]	3.55*** [0.23]	1.11*** [0.22]	0.64*** [0.14]	1.97*** [0.31]	11.44*** [0.49]	3.77*** [0.41]	3.22*** [0.28]	1.18*** [0.29]	0.86*** [0.20]	2.14*** [0.34]	10.96*** [0.50]
Observations	1136	1136	1136	1136	1136	1136	454	454	454	454	454	454
Adjusted R-squared	0.01	0.01	0.03	0	0.12	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.03
Meters	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Outcome Mean Pure Control	4.12	3.23	1.11	0.61	1.87	11.74	4.12	3.23	1.11	0.61	1.87	11.74
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Households around(#)	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78

Notes: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

(1) Sample in Table F7 includes all ultra-poor households that were interviewed in baseline and midline.

(2) Table F7 includes answers from primary female respondent in household.

(3) Regression utilizes ANCOVA estimation to control for the baseline level of the outcome. Conley standard errors that account for spatial correlation in the data are used (Conley 1999; 2008).

(4) The regression discontinuity (RD) estimation is presented in this table in columns 7 to 12 that exploits the sharp discontinuity at the 18 EV cutoff that determined village-level program eligibility to receive cash transfers. We estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) using only observations close to the cutoff +/- 18 EVs.