

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Consumption : Real Non- Food expenditures( IHS)	Consumption : Real Food expenditures (IHS)	Consumption : Real Non- Food expenditures( W/95)	Consumption : Real Food expenditures (IHS)	Consumption : Real Non- Food expenditures( IHS)	Consumption : Real Food expenditures (IHS)	Consumption : Real Non- Food expenditures( W/95)	Consumption : Real Food expenditures (IHS)
	<i>Full Sample (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages</i>				<i>RDD 18 (CTs and NCTs) in Program Villages</i>			
CT in CT villages Midline[A]	0.40*	0.26***	24.87*	210.45**	0.45*	0.39***	53.73***	382.41***
	[0.23]	[0.07]	[14.44]	[85.56]	[0.25]	[0.11]	[14.53]	[128.19]
CT in CT villages Endline[B]	0.19	0.06	8.85	-125.81	-0.04	-0.05	-3.82	-286.00**
	[0.12]	[0.23]	[7.23]	[79.54]	[0.09]	[0.18]	[6.20]	[117.95]
NCT in CT villages Midline[C]	0.25	-0.05	16.62	-14.80	0.30	0.16	47.09***	210.58
	[0.22]	[0.07]	[14.53]	[75.99]	[0.24]	[0.11]	[16.11]	[136.88]
NCT in CT villages Endline[D]	0.10	0.07	2.92	-116.60	-0.10	-0.13	-10.32	-277.98***
	[0.13]	[0.19]	[9.52]	[73.64]	[0.15]	[0.18]	[12.58]	[97.63]
PET Midline[E]	0.26*	0.15*	7.53	19.15	0.21	-0.09	-37.18**	-138.11
	[0.15]	[0.09]	[10.50]	[91.87]	[0.24]	[0.12]	[16.09]	[134.51]
PET Endline[F]	0.08	0.03	3.86	144.51***	0.25**	0.30***	17.72**	333.60***
	[0.09]	[0.10]	[5.48]	[43.11]	[0.11]	[0.11]	[8.60]	[72.15]
PEV Midline[G]	-0.48***	-0.77***	-37.33***	-539.56***	-0.59	-0.84***	-35.20	-762.09***
	[0.13]	[0.03]	[10.15]	[40.03]	[0.40]	[0.05]	[39.02]	[86.12]
PEV Endline[H]	-0.29**	-0.77***	-12.04	-208.31**	-0.38***	0.05	-30.37***	-9.44
	[0.12]	[0.22]	[8.51]	[103.97]	[0.08]	[0.10]	[6.28]	[122.08]
#HH Midline[I]	-0.02	-0.09***	-2.70	-16.43	-0.29***	-0.19***	-26.48***	-241.14***
	[0.06]	[0.02]	[3.14]	[20.80]	[0.06]	[0.03]	[4.27]	[18.58]
#HH Endline[J]	-0.00	-0.08	2.43	51.70	0.00	0.07	-1.74	90.24**
	[0.04]	[0.06]	[3.17]	[38.61]	[0.05]	[0.05]	[4.09]	[45.95]
Midline[K]	1.23***	-0.23***	28.27***	-163.65***	1.29***	-0.17*	37.26***	-33.62
	[0.14]	[0.06]	[7.89]	[45.85]	[0.14]	[0.09]	[11.69]	[.]
Endline[L]	1.27***	-0.00	-1.79	-36.43	1.20***	-0.40***	5.92***	-122.78
	[0.13]	[0.08]	[7.18]	[24.42]	[0.10]	[0.14]	[1.17]	[.]
Constant	2.96***	7.67***	57.87***	1280.80***	3.08***	7.68***	58.22***	1279.65***
	[0.10]	[0.04]	[6.05]	[35.07]	[0.11]	[0.04]	[3.93]	[31.79]
Observations	3493	3498	3493	3498	1401	1401	1401	1401
Meters	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
<i>Mean Pure Control</i>								
Baseline	3.49	7.83	72.59	1442.99	3.49	7.83	72.59	1442.99
Midline	4.28	7.24	89.66	1003.16	4.28	7.24	89.66	1003.16
Endline	4.37	7.47	68.09	1244.32	4.37	7.47	68.09	1244.32
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Households around(#)	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78

Notes: \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001

(1) Outcomes are as follows: Non food and food consumption is converted to the last 7 days adult-equivalent measure and inflation-adjusted. Food consumption includes expenditures and own consumption. Variables are presented as the inverse hyperbolic sine (IHS) transformed and as levels winsorized at 95%.

(2) Sample in Table F9 is a balanced panel that includes all ultra-poor households that were interviewed at baseline and endline.

(3) Table F9 includes answers from primary female respondent in household.

(4) Regression uses ordinary least squares (OLS) for panel data. All regressions control for location i.e. local government area (LGA) fixed effects. Conley standard errors that account for spatial correlation in the data are

(5) The regression discontinuity (RD) estimation is presented in columns 5 and 8 that exploits the sharp discontinuity at the 18 EV cutoff that determined village-level program eligibility to receive cash transfers. We estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) for the panel sample using only observations close to the cutoff. In Table 2 column 11 and 12 the bandwidth is defined as +/- 18 EVs around the cutoff i.e. any villages with 0 to 36 EVs are included in the estimation (note minimum number of EVs in a village is 4). Bandwidth was selected using rdwselect command on Stata (see Cattaneo et al. 2016 and Appendix for further information).